

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,390	06/23/2006	Koji Okazaki	TOW-153US	9028	
959 LAHIVE & C	7590 03/30/2010 OCKFIELD, LLP	EXAMINER			
FLOOR 30, SI	JITE 3000	ESSEX, STEPHAN J			
ONE POST OF BOSTON, MA	FFICE SQUARE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2001011,111	102105		1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/30/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/584,390	OKAZAKI, KOJI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
STEPHAN ESSEX	1795		

	STEPHAN ESSEX	1795						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. In The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one 41.31.								
periods; a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	e of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∈	corresponding number of finally rais	ated alaims						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		cted claims.						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ripliant Amendment (F10L-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable il submitted in a separate, t	intery fried afriendmen	it canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-11.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to contain the contained the contained to contain the contained to contain the contained the contained to contain the contained to contain the contained the contained to contain the contained to contain the contained the contained to contain the contained the con	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1, at lines 19-20, reciting "the condensor is provided in the stack container case at a top position covering the stack container case" requires further search and consideration.